

## 87(6): Estimating the Charge Density, Second Method

This method is similar to note 87(4), the only difference is that the probability of finding an electron in a spherical shell is now estimated from:

$$\text{prob} = 4\pi r^2 R^2(r) \quad - (1)$$

and the normalized probability is defined (i.e. charge) to be:

$$\text{prob(normalized)} = 4\pi \left(\frac{r}{a_0}\right)^2 R^2(r) \quad - (2)$$

The effect of  $r(\text{vac})$  is then:

$$\text{prob(normalized)} = 4\pi \left(\frac{r+r(\text{vac})}{a_0}\right)^2 R^2(r) \quad - (3)$$

because it has been assumed in the basic method that  $R(r)$  is hydrogenic, so  $r$  inside  $R(r)$  does not change.

This method aims at defining electron densities in various orbitals. The electron density in each orbital is different and defined by:

$$N = 4\pi \left(\frac{r+r(\text{vac})}{a_0}\right)^2 R^2(r) \quad - (4)$$

2) Finally  $\Phi$  charge density is evaluated using:

$$\rho = \frac{eN}{V} \quad - (5)$$

where  $V$  is an appropriate volume. This volume is also different for each orbital. To evaluate this volume self-consistently, it could be defined by:

$$V = \frac{4}{3} \pi (r + r(\text{vac}))_{\text{max}}^3 \quad - (6)$$

for each orbital, where  $(r + r(\text{vac}))_{\text{max}}$  is the point at which  $N$  is a maximum.

Having evaluated the charge density in this way, it is next used in the driving term ( $\Phi$  right hand side) of the equation:

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\phi}{dr} - \frac{1}{r^2} \phi = -\frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0} \quad - (7)$$

It is known from paper (3) that this is an Euler Bernoulli resonance equation. Resonance occurs irrespective of the magnitude of  $\rho$ , which initially

is known to be very small, because the relative correction in  $H$  produces a  $4 \times 10^{-7}$  change, or splitting, of  $2s$  from  $2p$ . However, at resonance,  $\phi$  may become very large, however small  $\rho$  is initially. If  $\phi$  is very large and positive, and if it is denoted  $\phi_{res}$ , then the Schrödinger equation of the H atom becomes:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2\pi}\right) \frac{d^2 P}{dr^2} + \left(\bar{V}_{eff}^{(0)} + e\phi_{res}\right) P = EP \quad \text{--- (8)}$$

where:

$$\bar{V}_{eff}^{(0)} = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} + \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2mr^2} \quad \text{--- (10)}$$

By hypothesis,  $\bar{E}_l$  is equivalent to:

$$\boxed{-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 P}{dr^2} + \left(\bar{V}_{eff} + e\phi_{res}\right) P = E_l P} \quad \text{--- (11)}$$

where:

$$\bar{V}_{eff} = -\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 (r+r(vac))} + \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2m(r+r(vac))^2} \quad \text{--- (12)}$$

4)

## Discussion

By solving eq. (11) it can be shown that at resonance,  $\Phi$  H atom ionizes. The circuit needed to probe this resonance is built up from eq. (7) and  $\Phi$  material is chosen so that it is a solid state material that ionizes easily.

For such a material, density functional code is modelled on  $\Phi$  equation given here for H. Some discussion of this density functional code was given in paper 63. In eq. (8):

$$d = \langle d \rangle (1 + \cos(k_0 r)) \quad (13)$$

where  $k_0$  is a characteristic wavenumber of the relative correction. The latter maps the electron wobble in each orbital, and this wobble is represented in eq. (13) by a cosine.

In paper 63 an Euler transform:

$$kr = \exp(i\kappa R) \quad (14)$$

was applied to eq. (7), so it becomes

5)

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dR^2} + \kappa^2 \phi = \frac{\rho(0)}{f_0} \exp(2i\kappa R) \quad - (15)$$

As it stands, eq (15) will not resonate unless  $\rho(0)$  is oscillatory, for example cosial. This oscillatory braving term is strapped from the radiative correct., i.e. from eqs. (4) and (5).

$$\rho(0) = \frac{4\pi e}{\sqrt{V}} \left( \frac{r + r(\text{vac})}{a_0} \right)^2 R^2(r). \quad - (16)$$

To make eq. (16) consistent with eq. (15), Euler transform (14) must be used in eq. (16):

$$\kappa_1(r + r(\text{vac})) = \exp(i\kappa_1(R + R(\text{vac}))). \quad - (17)$$

where:  $\kappa_1 \neq \kappa. \quad - (18)$

Thus:  $r + r(\text{vac}) = \frac{1}{\kappa_1} \cos(\kappa_1(R + R(\text{vac}))) \quad - (19)$

and eq. (15) is:

b)

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{dR^2} + \kappa^2 \phi = \frac{4\pi \epsilon}{\epsilon_0 \bar{V}} \left( \frac{\cos^2(\kappa_1 (R + R(\text{vac})))}{a_0^2 \kappa_1^2} \right) \exp(2i\kappa R) \quad - (20)$$

The functional dependence of  $r$  or  $r(\text{vac})$  is found from:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \left( 1 + \frac{d}{2\pi} \right) \frac{d^2 P}{dr^2} + \bar{V}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} P = EP \quad - (21)$$

i.e.

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 P}{dr^2} + \bar{V}_{\text{eff}} P = EP \quad - (22)$$

i.e.:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{4\pi m} \frac{d^2 P}{dr^2} + \left( \bar{V}_{\text{eff}}^{(0)} - \bar{V}_{\text{eff}} \right) P = 0 \quad - (23)$$

and the resonant  $\phi$  for eq. (20) used finally in eq. (8).