

# 57(4): Fundamental Commutator Wave Equations, Expectation Value and Probability.

The basic equations of wave mechanics in ECE theory are all obtained from basic equations of Cartan geometry, the wave function being the tetrad  $v^a_\mu$ . The basic geometrical equations are:

$$v^a_\mu v^{\mu a} = 1 \quad - (1)$$

and 
$$D_\mu v^a_\nu = 0 \quad - (2)$$

From the tetrad postulate (2) & ECE Lemma is obtained:

$$D v^a_\mu := R v^a_\mu \quad - (3)$$

where:

$$R = v^{\lambda a} d^\mu \left( \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu v^a_\nu - \omega_{\mu b}^a v^b_\lambda \right) \quad - (4)$$

Eq (3) is equivalent to:

$$D^\mu (D_\mu v^a_\nu) := 0 \quad - (5)$$

It is always possible to write eq (1) as the classical equation:

$$v^a_\mu R v^{\mu a} = R \quad - (6)$$

using eq (3) in eq (6):

2)

$$\boxed{v_a^\mu \square v_\mu^a = R} \quad - (7)$$

which is an operator equation indicating that  $R$  is the expectation value of  $\square$ . These equations define the geometrical basis of generally covariant wave mechanics.

In general  $v_a^\mu$  is not the same as  $v_\mu^a$ ,

so:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \square v_\mu^a &= R v_\mu^a \\ \square v_a^\mu &= R_1 v_a^\mu \end{aligned} \right\} - (8)$$

where  $R_1$  is to be defined. It follows from eq (8) that:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} v_a^\mu \square v_\mu^a &= v_a^\mu R v_\mu^a = R \\ v_\mu^a \square v_a^\mu &= v_\mu^a R_1 v_a^\mu = R_1 \end{aligned} \right\} - (9)$$

In wave mechanics, Hermitian matrices are used, because their eigenvalues are real-valued and physical. In Dirac Bracket notation a Hermitian system is defined by:

$$\langle n | \Omega | n \rangle = \langle n | \Omega | n \rangle^* \quad - (10)$$

3) where  $*$  denotes complex conjugate. A Hermitian matrix is a square matrix unchanged by taking the transpose of its complex conjugate, e.g. if

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+i \\ 1-i & 3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1-i \\ 1+i & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (11)$$

then

$$\bar{A}^* = A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+i \\ 1-i & 3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (12)$$

Eq (10) translates into:

$$v_\mu^a \square v_a^\mu = \left( v_a^\mu \square v_\mu^a \right)^* \quad (13)$$

which implies:

$$R_1 = R^* \quad (14)$$

This means that the tetrad has been assumed to be a Hermitian matrix, its eigenvalues are real and physical. Now denote:

$$R = R' + iR'' \quad (15)$$

$$R^* = R' - iR'' \quad (16)$$

To obtain:

$$R' = \frac{1}{2} \left( v_a^\mu \square v_\mu^a + v_\mu^a \square v_a^\mu \right) \quad (17)$$

$$R'' = \frac{-i}{2} \left( v_a^\mu \square v_\mu^a - v_\mu^a \square v_a^\mu \right) \quad (18)$$

Single particle relativistic equations in  $\mathcal{E}$

4) limit of special relativity are regained by assuming:

$$|R'| \rightarrow (mc/\hbar)^2 \quad - (19)$$

$$|R''| \rightarrow 0 \quad - (20)$$

In this limit it is self-consistently apparent

$$\text{that: } \frac{1}{2} (g_{\nu\alpha} \square g_{\mu}^{\alpha} + g_{\mu}^{\alpha} \square g_{\nu}^{\alpha}) = \frac{1}{2} (R + R) = R = R' = - (mc/\hbar)^2 \quad - (21)$$

The Klein Gordon equation is regained in the

$$\text{limit: } \phi = g_{\nu}^0 = g_{\nu}^1 = g_{\nu}^2 = g_{\nu}^3 \rightarrow 1 \quad - (22)$$

In this limit eq. (3) gives:

$$\left( \square + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right) \phi = 0 \quad - (23)$$

Therefore  $\phi$  is a scalar field without spin.

Eq. (23) is:

$$\left( \left( \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \nabla^2 \right) + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \right) \phi = 0 \quad - (24)$$

If we define:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} p^{\mu} &= \left( \frac{E}{c}, \underline{p} \right) \\ p_{\mu} &= \left( \frac{E}{c}, -\underline{p} \right) \end{aligned} \right\} - (25)$$

5) Eq. (24) is the same as the Euler equation of special relativity:

$$p^\mu p_\mu = m^2 c^2 \quad - (26)$$

if:  $E \rightarrow i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,  $\underline{p} \rightarrow -i\hbar \underline{\nabla}$ . - (27)

In the non-relativistic approximation to eq (27):

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \frac{p^2}{m} \quad - (28)$$

and from eq. (27) we obtain the Schrodinger equation for a free particle:

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \nabla^2 \phi = -i\hbar \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \quad - (29)$$

Eq (28) defines the kinetic energy in Newtonian mechanics.

Therefore the Klein-Gordon, Schrodinger and Newton equations have been obtained from Cartesian geometry in the limit:

$$R \rightarrow -\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 \quad - (30)$$

The minus sign in eq. (30) is a convention.

6) In the Born interpretation the probability density for a Schrodinger equation is proportional to:

$$\rho = \phi^* \phi \quad - (31)$$

so  $\phi$  is a complex scalar field. The probability current of the Schrodinger equation is defined as:

$$\underline{j} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2m} (\phi^* \underline{\nabla} \phi - \phi \underline{\nabla} \phi^*) \quad - (32)$$

so that:

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0 \quad - (33)$$

where:

$$j^\mu = (\rho, \underline{j}) \quad - (34)$$

is the relativistic generalization of eqns. (31) and (32). For a Schrodinger equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{j} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi^* \phi) - \frac{i\hbar}{2m} (\phi^* \nabla^2 \phi - \phi \nabla^2 \phi^*) \\ &= \phi^* \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \nabla^2 \phi \right) + \phi \left( \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial t} + \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \nabla^2 \phi^* \right) \\ &= 0. \quad - (35) \end{aligned}$$

Eq. (35) is a non-relativistic continuity equation whose special relativistic counterpart

7) is eq. (33). Thus  $j^\mu$  is a conserved quantity

In the Klein-Gordon equation  $\rho$  must be the time-like component of  $j^\mu$ :

$$\rho = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left( \phi^* \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} - \phi \frac{\partial \phi^*}{\partial t} \right) \quad (36)$$

Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu j^\mu &= \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left( \phi^* \square \phi - \phi \square \phi^* \right) \quad (37) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

In ECE theory, the equation (37) must be generalized to involve:

$$\boxed{\nabla_a^\mu \square \nabla_\mu^a - \nabla_\mu^a \square \nabla_a^\mu = R - R_1} \quad (38)$$

If  $R_1 = R^*$  (Hermitian  $\nabla_\mu^a$ ) it is convenient to use eqs (17) and (18). The continuity equation of ECE theory can be defined as:

$$\boxed{\partial_\mu j^\mu = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left( \nabla_a^\mu \square \nabla_\mu^a - \nabla_\mu^a \square \nabla_a^\mu \right)} \quad (39)$$

8) For real and physical  $R = R^*$  :

$$j_\mu j^\mu = R - R^* = 0. \quad - (40)$$

The Kler - Gordan equation was abandoned in favour of the Dirac equation because it gives negative probability that makes no sense. In order to make sense of the Kler Gordan equation  $\phi$  must be regarded as a complex field. This procedure is known as second quantization.

In ECE theory :

$$p = \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left( q^a \frac{d}{dt} q_\mu^a - q_\mu^a \frac{d}{dt} q^a \right)$$

$$\underline{j} = -\frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left( q^a \underline{\nabla} q_\mu^a - q_\mu^a \underline{\nabla} q^a \right)$$

$$j^\mu = (p, \underline{j})$$

- (41)

and the tetrad is a complex field. These concepts emerge from Cartesian geometry.



9) The Born normalization is therefore given directly by the fundamental tetrad normalization (1). The relativistic version of the Born normalization is obtained using eq. (1) in combination with the tetrad postulate (2).

The Dirac equation is a limit of the FCI Lemma using eq. (30), but the Dirac field is a spin half field defined by a Dirac spinor. As shown in previous work the Dirac spinor is a tetrad in  $SU(2)$  representation space. The Dirac equation is therefore:

$$\left( \not{\partial} + \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right) \right) \psi_{\mu}^a = 0 \quad (42)$$

where the  $a$  and  $\mu$  labels represent half-integral spin with components  $\psi_1^R, \psi_2^R, \psi_1^L$  and  $\psi_2^L$ . The Pauli spinors are:

$$\phi^R = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1^R \\ \psi_2^R \end{bmatrix}, \quad \phi^L = \begin{bmatrix} \psi_1^L \\ \psi_2^L \end{bmatrix} \quad (43)$$

and the Dirac spinor is:

$$\psi_{\mu}^a = \begin{bmatrix} \phi^R \\ \phi^L \end{bmatrix} \quad (44)$$

10) In quantum field theory the Dirac spinor is derived by  $\psi$  and the convention  $c = \hbar = 1$  is used, so the Dirac equation is:

$$(\not{D} + m) \psi = 0. \quad (45)$$

Eq (45) can be written as:

$$(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi = 0 \quad (46)$$

where  $\gamma^\mu$  is a Dirac matrix:

$$\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu = \gamma^0 \partial_0 + \gamma^i \partial_i \quad (47)$$

Written out in full, eqn. (46) is:

$$\left( i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \left( \frac{mc}{\hbar} \right) \right) \psi = 0. \quad (48)$$

Using the shorthand notation (46) it is possible to write the Hermitian conjugate equation:

$$\psi^\dagger (-i \overleftarrow{\gamma}^0 + i \overleftarrow{\gamma}^i \partial_i - m) = 0. \quad (49)$$

Now define:  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$  (50)

where:  $\gamma^i \gamma^0 = -\gamma^0 \gamma^i$  (51)

to give:  $\bar{\psi} (i \overleftarrow{\gamma}^\mu \partial_\mu + m) = 0.$  (52)

ii) Here  $\bar{\psi}$  is the adjoint spinor. The current

$$j^\mu = \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu \psi \quad - (53)$$

is conserved:

$$\partial_\mu j^\mu = 0, \quad - (54)$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\mu j^\mu &= (\partial_\mu \bar{\psi}) \gamma^\mu \psi + \bar{\psi} \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu \psi) \\ &= (im \bar{\psi}) \psi + \bar{\psi} (-im \psi) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad - (55)$$

The all important point is that:

$$\begin{aligned} j^0 &= \bar{\psi} \gamma^0 \psi = \psi^\dagger \psi \\ &= |\psi_1|^2 + |\psi_2|^2 + |\psi_3|^2 + |\psi_4|^2 \\ &> 0 \end{aligned} \quad - (56)$$

and is the Born probability for a free Dirac particle.

In ECE theory: - (57)

$$\psi^\dagger \rightarrow \bar{v}_a^{\mu+}; \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{v}_a^{\mu+} \gamma^0 = \bar{v}_a^{\mu-}$$

$$\boxed{j^\mu = \bar{v}_a^{\mu-} \gamma^\mu v_a^{\mu+}} \quad - (58)$$

12) The negative energy states of the Dirac equation come from the fact that the spin  $1/2$  particles obey the Pauli exclusion principle. Negative energy states are completely filled, so the exclusion principle prevents any more electrons entering the Dirac sea, made up of negative energy states. The Dirac sea is the vacuum, made up of negative energy electrons, protons, neutrinos, neutrons and all fermions. If there is a vacancy, or "hole" in the fermion sea, with energy  $-|E|$ , an electron with energy  $E$  fills it, emitting energy

$$2E : \quad e^- + \text{hole} \rightarrow \text{energy} \quad - (59)$$

The hole has charge  $e^+$  and positive energy, and is called a positron. The energy difference is:

$$\Delta E = E - (-|E|) = 2E \quad - (60)$$

Eq. (59) is particle theory is:

$$e^- + e^+ = 2\gamma, \quad - (61)$$

and this means that an electron and positron mutually annihilate to give two photons.

3) In ECE theory of Dirac sea is defined by tetrads obeying the Pauli exclusion principle. Positive energy states are also given by tetrads obeying the Pauli exclusion principle. The latter is understood by regarding the tetrad as a field (second quantization).

Quantities such as  $p^\mu$  are understood in ECE theory through the momentum tetrad:

$$p_\mu^a = p^{(a)} v_\mu^a \quad - (62)$$

Planck / Einstein / de Broglie quantization is

given by:

$$p_\mu^a = \hbar \kappa_\mu^a = e A_\mu^a = e A^{(a)} v_\mu^a \quad - (63)$$

The Heisenberg commutator equation is understood using the structure invariants of Cartan geometry (e.g. p. 410 of vol 1):

$$x^a = \int_S T^a \quad - (64)$$

$$A^a_b = \int_S R^a_b \quad - (65)$$

14) and the Heisenberg equation is:

$$[x^a, p_b] = i J^a_b = \hbar \theta^a_b \quad (66)$$

where:

$$\theta^a_b = \frac{i}{\hbar} J^a_b \quad (67)$$

Thus:

$$[x^a, p_b] = \hbar \int_S R^a_b \quad (68)$$

where:

$$R^a_b = -\frac{\kappa}{2} \epsilon^a_{bc} T^c \quad (69)$$

appropriate to spinning spacetime. Here  $T^c$  is Cartan tensor.

The next stage in development is the  
second quantization of the tetrad field.

---