

349(2): Transition to Turbulence via the Lorentz Force Equation.
 Google "Turbulent flow Lorentz force" first site from Stanford/NASA,
 A. Theiss et al. (2006)

Consider Ohm's law in the presence of a magnetic field:

$$\underline{J} = \sigma (\underline{E} + \underline{v} \times \underline{B}) \quad - (1)$$

where \underline{J} is the current density, σ the conductivity, \underline{E} the electric field strength, \underline{v} the velocity and \underline{B} the magnetic flux density. Eq. (1) is related to the Lorentz force equation for a distribution of charge. The Lorentz force equation for the elementary charge e is:

$$\underline{F} = e (\underline{E} + \underline{v} \times \underline{B}) \quad - (2)$$

so

$$d\underline{F} = de (\underline{E} + \underline{v} \times \underline{B}) \quad - (3)$$

or

$$\underline{F}_0 = \rho (\underline{E} + \underline{v} \times \underline{B}) \quad - (4)$$

where \underline{F}_0 denotes force per unit volume and where ρ is the charge density or charge per unit volume. When considering the motion of a charge continuum:

$$\underline{J} = \rho \underline{v} \quad - (5)$$

where \underline{J} is the current density. So:

$$\underline{F}_0 = \rho \underline{E} + \underline{J} \times \underline{B} \quad - (6)$$

and the Lorentz force of continuum charge is:

$$\underline{F} = \int \underline{F}_0 dV \quad - (7)$$

2) From eqs. (1) and (4):

$$\frac{F_0}{J} = \frac{\rho}{\sigma} \quad - (8)$$

so

$$\boxed{F_0 = \frac{\rho}{\sigma} J} \quad - (9)$$

and

$$F = \int \frac{\rho J}{\sigma} dV. \quad - (10)$$

The four current density is:

$$\underline{J}^{\mu} = (c\rho, \underline{J}) \quad - (11)$$

Units Check

The units of ρ are Cm^{-3} , so those of \underline{J} are $Cs^{-1}m^{-2}$. The units of ρ are C , and the units of conductivity are

$$\sigma = C^2 J^{-1} s^{-1} m^{-1} \quad - (12)$$

so

$$F_0 = Cm^{-3} \cancel{Cs^{-1}m^{-2}} \cancel{C} Jsm = Jm^{-2} \\ = kgmms^{-2} \quad - (13)$$

Therefore the Lorentz force density F_0 can be expressed in terms of current density \underline{J} .

In the paper by Thes et al. a magnetic flux

density: $\underline{B} = B_r \underline{e}_r + B_z \underline{k} \quad - (14)$

is applied to a conducting fluid. It is assumed that.

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = 0 \quad - (15)$$

For low Reynolds number flows:

$$\underline{E} = -\underline{\nabla} \phi \quad - (16)$$

is assumed. $\underline{I} \perp \underline{E} \perp \underline{B}$:

$$\underline{E} = -\underline{\nabla} \phi_w \quad - (17)$$

So low Reynolds number flows correspond to

$$E \gg B \quad - (18)$$

A steady flow is considered to be:

$$\underline{v} = v(r) \underline{k} \quad - (19)$$

The authors take the divergence of Ohm's Law, Eq.

$$\begin{aligned} (1): \quad \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{J} &= \sigma (\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{E} + \underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{v} \times \underline{B}) \\ &= \sigma (-\nabla^2 \phi + \underline{B} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v} - \underline{v} \cdot \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{B}) \quad - (20) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\underline{w} = \underline{\nabla} \times \underline{v} \quad - (21)$$

is the vorticity. From eqs. (5) and (19):

$$\underline{J} = \rho v(r) \underline{k} \quad - (22)$$

and for steady flow $v(r)$ is a constant, so:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{J} = 0 \quad - (23)$$

The authors assume that the curl of the magnetic field

(4) is zero,

$$\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{B} = \underline{0} \quad - (24)$$

so

$$\boxed{\nabla^2 \phi = \underline{B} \cdot \underline{w}} \quad - (25)$$

For flows of type (14) the vorticity is $\parallel \underline{k}$

4) so

$$\nabla^2 \phi = 0 \quad (26)$$

The scalar potential ϕ has to satisfy homogeneous boundary conditions:

$$\phi = 0 \text{ at } r = 0 \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} = 0 \text{ at } r = R \quad (28)$$

and

Under these conditions the Lorenz term in eq. (1)

gives the eddy currents:

$$\underline{J} = \sigma \underline{v} \times \underline{B} = \sigma v(r) B_r \underline{e}_\theta \quad (29)$$

These are azimuthal and flow parallel to the wall of the pipe.

The authors consider the Lorenz force density:

$$\underline{F}_0 = \underline{J} \times \underline{B} \quad (30)$$

and integrate over the volume of the pipe to give the Lorenz force:

$$F = -2\pi\sigma \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^R v(r) B_r^2 r dr dz \quad (30)$$

The magnetic field is produced by a single coil with radius L , wrapped around the pipe, with:

$$R < L \quad (31)$$

Under these conditions:

$$B_r = \frac{3B_0}{2L^2} \frac{r^2}{\left(1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}\right)^{5/2}}; B_z = \frac{B_0}{\left(1 + \frac{r^2}{L^2}\right)^{3/2}}$$

This field is a maximum at the axis of the
y-laser, at

$$r = 0 - (33).$$

From eqs. (30) to (33):

$$F = - \frac{45\pi^2}{256} \frac{\sigma B_0^2}{L} \int_0^R v(r) r^3 dr - (34)$$

Finally the authors consider models of transition
to turbulence.

In precise analogy, the Lorentz force from the
ECE2 vacuum can produce turbulent motion in
the electrons of a circuit, and this will be considered
in the next note.

Finally in this note, Eq. (B) of UFT 317 refers
to current density:

$$\underline{J} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \underline{\kappa} \times \underline{B} - (35)$$

here

$$\underline{\kappa} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{r(\omega)} \underline{q} - \underline{\omega} \right) - (36)$$

Here \underline{q} is a tetrad vector, $\underline{\omega}$ is a spin connection

vector, and $r^{(0)}$ has the units of metres. By comparison
 eqs. (1) and (35):

$$\underline{I} = \sigma \underline{v} \times \underline{B} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \underline{K} \times \underline{B} \quad - (37)$$

so:

$$\boxed{\underline{K} = \mu_0 \sigma \underline{v}} \quad - (38)$$

in ECE2 relativity. Therefore the ECE2 field
 equations contain the Lorentz force equation & the
 result of geometry, and define hydrodynamic flow.
 in terms of the tetrad and spin connection
vector
